LAW OFFICES OF 1 WALKUP, MELODIA, KELLY & SCHOENBERGER A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 2650 CALIFORNIA STREET, 26TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108-2615 3 T: (415) 981-7210 · F: (415) 391-6965 4 MICHAEL A. KELLY (State Bar #71460) mkelly@walkuplawoffice.com 5 RICHARD H. SCHOENBERGER (State Bar #122190) rschoenberger@walkuplawoffice.com MATTHEW D. DAVIS (State Bar #141986) mdavis@walkuplawoffice.com ASHCON MINOIEFAR (State Bar #347583) aminoiefar@walkuplawoffice.com SHANIN SPECTOR (Pennsylvania State Bar No. 40928) (Pro Hac Vice Application Pending) shanin.specter@klinespecter.com ALEX VAN DYKE (CA State Bar No. 340379) alex.vandyke@klinespecter.com 11 KLINE & SPECTER, P.C. 12 1525 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19102 13 Telephone: (215) 772-1000 Facsimile: (215) 772-1359 14 ATTORNEYS FOR ALL PLAINTIFFS 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 17 18 JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR an individual; SUSAN ROE, an 19 individual; JOHN ROE, an individual; PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR 20 BARBARA ROE, an individual; AN ORDER GRANTING PHOENIX HOTEL SF, LLC, a PERMISSION TO USE THE PSEUDONYM "MARY ROE" IN 21 California limited liability company; FUNKY FUN, LLC, a California limited PUBLICLY FILED PLEADINGS liability company; and 2930 EL CAMINO, LLC, a California limited 23 liability company, 24 Plaintiffs, 25 v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN 26 FRANCISCO, a California public entity, 27 Defendants. 28

Plaintiff submits this application with her Complaint and applies for an order granting her permission is use the pseudonym "MARY ROE" in all publicly filed pleadings on the ground that this is needed to protect her safety.

Plaintiff complains of and seeks redress to stop the gang-related drug dealing and related criminal activities that happen around her home in the Tenderloin district of San Francisco. Public disclosure of her name could expose her to physical retaliation by those involved in the illegal narcotics trade. Plaintiff agrees that her name and relevant information can be disclosed to defendant City and County of San Francisco, subject to an appropriate protective order.

A party may preserve his or her anonymity in judicial proceedings in special circumstances when the party's need for anonymity outweighs prejudice to the opposing party and the public's interest in knowing the party's identity. (*Does I thru XXIII v. Advanced Textile Corp.*, 214 F.3d 1058, 1068 (9th Cir. 2000).) Federal courts have allowed plaintiffs to use pseudonyms for various reasons, including when "identification creates a risk of retaliatory physical or mental harm." (*Id.*) When a pseudonym is sought to shield a party from retaliation, courts evaluate (1) the severity of the harm, (2) the reasonableness of the anonymous party's fears, and (3) the anonymous party's vulnerability to such retaliation. (*Ibid.*)

In this case, plaintiff alleges that her neighborhood has been treated as a "containment zone" for illegal narcotics activities, including drug sales made by gang members who resort to violence, including murder, to protect their markets. She seeks injunctive relief that would curb or stop these illegal activities. Plaintiff must pass by drug dealers, gang members and drug users when coming to or leaving her home. Thus, the public identification of plaintiff, *e.g.*, revealing her name or precise address in court filings, would put her at risk of being hurt or killed in retaliation by persons involved in the illegal narcotics trade in the Tenderloin. Plaintiff's fears in this regard are reasonable. Finally, plaintiff lives in the neighborhood and is thus vulnerable to such retaliation.

Case 4:24-cv-01562-JST Document 6 Filed 03/14/24 Page 3 of 3

| 1 | The public's interest in knowing plaintiff's name is low. Any prejudice to | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | defendant City and County of San Francisco is eliminated by an appropriate | |
| 3 | protective order that will allow the plaintiff to reveal and disclose her true name and | |
| 4 | other identifying information, facts, and documents. | |
| 5 | Thus, good cause exists for the Court to grant this application. | |
| 6 | Respectfully submitted. | |
| 7 | Dated: March 14, 2024 | Walkup, Melodia, Kelly & Schoenberger |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | By: /S/ Matthew D. Davis |
| 10 | | MICHAEL A. KELLY |
| 11 | | RICHARD H. SCHOENBERGER MATTHEW D. DAVIS |
| 12 | | ASHCON MINOIEFAR Attorneys for ALL PLAINTIFFS |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |